Conclusions of the Twelfth Session of the High Level Committee on Management
(Rome, 30 September – 1 October 2006)
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INTRODUCTION

1. The High Level Committee on Management held its twelfth regular session in Rome on 30 September and 1 October 2006. The meeting was chaired in alternate sessions by the Committee’s Chairperson, UNFPA Executive Director, Thoraya Obaid, and by the Vice Chairman, WHO Assistant Director-General, Director of the Office of the Director-General, Denis Aitken. For the second time HLCM was meeting at the same time and venue as the High Level Committee on Programme (HLCP), and a joint session with HLCP was held on the afternoon of 30 September.

I. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

2. In opening the session, the Chairperson welcomed new members of the Committee. The complete list of participants is provided in Annex 1.

3. The agenda as adopted by the Committee is provided in Annex 2.

4. All documents related to the session are available on the CEB website at: https://hlcm.unsystemceb.org/documents/20060910/.

II. DIALOGUE WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF FICSA AND CCISUA

5. In accordance with established practice, the Committee conducted an exchange of views with the representatives of the Federation of International Civil Servants’ Associations (FICSA) and the Coordinating Committee for International Staff Unions and Associations of the United Nations System (CCISUA). FICSA was represented by its President, Robert Weisell and by Mauro Pace, FICSA Executive Committee member for compensation issues; CCISUA was represented by Rick Cottam, Bureau Member of CCISUA. The statements of the representatives of FICSA and CCISUA are provided in annexes 3 and 4, respectively.

6. The discussion that followed focused mainly on the areas of UN reform, Expert Redesign Panel on the Administration of Justice, Security and Safety of Staff (IASMN), Staff Management Relations, and UN Joint Staff Pension Fund.

7. The satisfaction expressed by the representatives of FICSA and CCISUA on the work of the Expert Redesign Panel on the Administration of Justice found unanimous support by the Committee. The UN informed the Committee that the Secretary General had to report back to the General Assembly on this subject by March 2007, and that consultations were already taking place. A special session of SMCC was planned for January 2007.

8. Both CCISUA and FICSA had participated fully and actively in the Interagency Security Management Network meeting in Vienna, and expressed appreciation for the opportunity to discuss in a consultative context the vision and programmes of work of the Department of Safety and Security. UN/DSS acknowledged the contribution of the staff associations in the discussion on safety and security, and re-iterated its intent to focus on the issue of local staff with high priority in the coming months. The recent experience of Lebanon could teach a lot in that respect. A similar praise for the collaborative and constructive attitude of staff associations in this area was echoed by UN/OHRM.
9. The concerns expressed by staff associations in connection with the recent discussion on UN Joint Staff Pension Fund’s investment policy were shared by many organizations, which indicated the critical need to improve communication on this subject of highly technical nature. Staff associations had to be involved in a transparent and comprehensive effort to raise awareness and understanding of the issues being discussed and the options being considered.

10. Better communication would significantly contribute to improving dialogue between the representatives of the Members States and Executive Heads, on one side, and the Participants’ representatives on the other, and avoid the risk of compromising the correct functioning of the tripartite structure of the UNJSPF Board.

**Conclusions and Action Points**

11. The Committee agreed that, in the future, the CEB Secretariat would conduct a debriefing with FICSA and CCISUA representatives after HLCM meetings.

**III. SECURITY AND SAFETY OF STAFF**

12. In a joint statement made by ten organizations (WHO, FAO, ICAO, IFAD, ILO, PAHO, UNAIDS, UNESCO, UNIDO, WIPO) at the Inter Inter-agency Security Management Network (IASMN) meeting of May 2006 in Vienna (CRP.2), it was recalled that HLCM, at its 11th session, has requested a number of specific actions to be undertaken in connection with DSS budget development, namely:

- for 2004-2005, an implementation report on results achieved and the expenditure for each result of cost-shared field related security arrangements;
- for 2006-2007, a revised programme of work and budget, focused on results achieved as related to planned results;
- for 2008-2009, robust and timely inter-agency consultations for the development of the cost-shared portion of the budget with a clear results-based focus.

13. These ten organizations did not endorse the budget proposals for 2008-2009.

14. The IASMN had therefore decided to establish a working group to re-draft the strategic framework 2006-2009, to reflect the expansion that had taken place in the proposed scope of activities beyond the originally agreed structure and terms of reference, including cost-sharing arrangements relating to the establishment of DSS. The new framework would incorporate the needs of the agencies, funds and programmes.

15. The IASMN also decided that the working group would also consider and review the budget proposals for 2008-2009 and submit its report no later than 1 September 2006.

16. This report was produced, following a working group’s meeting on 10-11 July in New York (CRP.3). The Group agreed that, to better enable DSS to coordinate budgetary submissions with the approval process of the HLCM and CEB, the next IASMN meeting would take place in February or March 2007.
17. The Chairperson recalled that, at its 10th and 11th sessions, HLCM had considered the measures to be taken to improve the operational administration of existing cost sharing arrangements for safety and security, as well as the broader issue of improving the governance mechanisms of the UN security management system, both from a substantive point of view, i.e. the definition of future strategic frameworks, and from a budgetary point of view, i.e. the procedures to collectively identify and agree on objectives and corresponding resources.

18. Two documents were before the Committee for discussion – a report on the Inter-Agency Security Management Network (IASMN) meeting of May 2006 in Vienna (CRP.2), and the report of the IASMN Working Group meeting of July 2006 in New York (CRP.3). The Secretary-General’s report (A/61/223) on measures to improve cost-sharing arrangements for field security was also available as background information.

19. The Chairperson gave the floor to the United Nations Under-Secretary General for Safety and Security, for a briefing on the general security environment within which the staff of the United Nations System were currently operating, as well as on the recent accomplishments and the future challenges facing the UN Department for Safety and Security.

20. An authoritative report entitled “Humanitarian Action in this Security Environment”, extensively researched by the New York University and the Overseas Development Institute (with the support of DSS), was to be launched in October. Its regrettable conclusion was a marked increase in violent acts against aid workers since 1997, with a steeper increase in recent years. The UN offered to share with HLCM the complete results of this study, upon its release.

21. An encouraging conclusion of this report was that UN system organizations, as compared to other organizations operating in the field, had experienced a relatively lower increase in security costs. This was also thanks to the UN organizations’ unique capacity to expect host country leadership in UN security responsibility.

22. Recognition of the increased global threat to the UN and the wider humanitarian community was also expressed in General Assembly Resolution 60/123. The wording of this resolution was particularly robust and contained specific recommendations on enhanced staff training, more co-ordination of threat and risk analysis and particular emphasis on attacks upon locally employed – national staff – which are the most common forms of attack.

23. DSS recognized the role of the UN Designated Official and country security management team, as the foundation of the UN security system. In support of that role, DSS had commissioned two analytical reviews, one from a development/humanitarian perspective and the other from a peace-keeping perspective. The conclusions of the first review had already been shared with the IASMN at its meeting in May 2006, while the second review had recently been completed and was currently the subject of consultations with DPKO. DSS intended to share the conclusions of both reviews with all interested stakeholders and to proceed with the implementation of those recommendations that met broad acceptance and would had a good chance of strengthening the Designated Official and the country security management team in their crucial role.
24. In the discussion that followed, DSS regretted that there had been only marginal improvement in the gender balance of security officers. Reiterated pressures on Member States to submit female candidatures for advertised posts had gone mostly unheard. In any case, a number of already announced positions were being kept open and reserved for women. The UN USG for Management commended the efforts made by DSS on the subject of gender balance, and stated that a real improvement in the gender balance of UN staff required truly radical measures, such as the planned staff buy-out currently under finalization in the Secretariat, which was expected to change the current demographics of senior leadership in the Organization.

25. In the discussions on the IASMN reports (CRP.2 and CRP.3), it was suggested that the Network should focus on its core mandate - field security - and not presume to be a governing body for DSS. For example, paragraphs 59, 60, 61 & 67 of CRP.2 as well as paragraph 14 of CRP.3 addressed issues concerning the United Nations Secretariat's Security and Safety Services, which were not within the purview of IASMN. It was furthermore suggested to rename IASMN, in line with other HLCM subsidiary bodies, and call it "Field Security Network" (FSN).

26. Welcoming suggestions to develop and carry out training activities as preventive measures against harassment by male security officers towards their female colleagues, the UN informed the Committee that a new, strong sexual harassment policy was being developed and would be finalized shortly.

27. Noting that recent statistics indicated that a major cause of UN staff injury was traffic accidents, WHO offered to share with the organizations members of HLCM the methodology and conclusions of its recent work on road safety.

28. On the issue of the World Bank’s participation in the UN security management system and on the payment of its corresponding share, the Chairperson informed that she had met with the World Bank in July and that the Bank President had promised an answer on this issue before the CEB meeting in October 2006. The UN also noted that the World Bank’s biennial share in the field-security system amounted to approximately USD 10 Million. Such an amount, of not duly paid, could not be absorbed by the UN and it would result in all the organizations participating in the system having to share the difference.

29. The World Bank representative provided the Committee with some historical background on this issue, explaining that in September 2004 the then Under-Secretary for Management had written to the World Bank to initiate a process to clarify the scope of cooperation between the World Bank Group and the United Nations Security Management System. Following initial discussions with DSS in mid-2005 and in connection with an analysis of both the value of services received and their corresponding costs, a letter outlining the Bank’s position on the subject was sent to the Secretary of HLCM on 5 October 2005, and was discussed at the Committee’s 10th session. Following extensive consultations over several months with the World Bank, at both the working and senior management levels, a formal response to this position was provided by DSS on 25th September 2006. The World Bank reiterated its commitment to continued dialogue with the United Nations, in order to resolve the current impasse and stated its firm belief that a solution could be found very quickly through continued negotiations, with DSS being empowered by stakeholders, including the HLCM, to reach an acceptable agreement.
30. Organizations expressed their strong preference for an agreement which would permit the World Bank to continue its participation within the UN Security Management System. The Committee agreed that the solution lay in continued dialogue and encouraged all sides to meet as soon as possible to resolve the outstanding issues.

31. The Committee endorsed the objectives as spelled out in the UNDSS Strategic Framework for the biennium 2008-2009, as well as the newly identified need for a fully-operational surge capacity, should resources be made available (i.e. with no additional base resource growth in the 2008-2009 budget).

32. Noting that the UNDSS current budget proposal for the biennium 2008-2009 included increased resource requirements, Committee members stated that the increased budgetary requirements could not be funded by the partners of the IASMN through the current cost-sharing arrangements, due to their financial limitations. There was also consensus on the fact that the possible establishment of a surge capacity could not be achieved through an increase in the 2006-07 cost-shared contribution.

33. The Committee encouraged UNDSS to explore and utilize alternative options of funding for the full implementation of its proposed operations, including the development of a surge capacity.

34. The Committee decided to establish a technical working group to look at options for re-prioritization of activities of UNDSS in order to best meet the objectives stated in the Strategic Framework 2008-2009 and develop an effective surge capacity within the 2006-2007 budget ceiling.

35. Organizations congratulated DSS for the excellent progress made since its establishment, appreciated the consultative approach adopted by DSS within the framework of the IASMN, and noted that this would further benefit from a more timely circulation of the documents for discussion, prior to meetings of the Network.

Conclusions and Action Points

36. The Committee:

a) Endorsed the recommendations included in IASMN report of May 2006, as follows:

- Paragraphs 28 to 30 on the production of CD-ROM 2 (Advanced Security in the Field).
- Paragraph 51: on the development, by October 2006, of a training package on how to cope with mass casualties to be included in the next workshop for DSS field security officers held after this date.
- Paragraphs 55 to 58: on security for women.
- Paragraph 75 -78: on the work done with regard to cooperation with NGOs.

b) Welcomed the agreement reached at the IASMN meeting by all organizations, with the exception of the World Bank, on the wording of the final outstanding paragraph of the policy for the accountability of the UN security management system.
c) With regard to the revised commercial passenger travel guidelines, as outlined in paragraph 34 of the IASMN report, noted that the issue of staff limits on flights required further study, especially in connection with the guidelines’ implications on insurance costs.

d) Requested that UNDSS and the World Bank undertake further consultations in order to resolve the issue of World Bank’s participation in the UN security management system and the payment of its corresponding share.

e) Established a technical working group to look at options for re-prioritization of activities of UNDSS and corresponding funding mechanisms in order to best meet the objectives stated in the Strategic Framework 2008-2009 within the 2006-2007 cost-shared budget ceiling, and develop an effective surge capacity should resources become available (i.e. with no additional base resource growth in the 2008-2009 budget). The working group should complete its report and submit it to the HLCM by end of October 2006. The Terms of Reference of the group are attached as Annex 5 to this report.

IV. MANAGEMENT REFORM

37. This item was taken up as a follow-up to the HLCM spring session and the subsequent videoconference of 26 July. Organizations had expressed great interest in information-sharing and exchange of experiences on the subject. Following up on a suggestion by WIPO, the CEB Secretariat had opened a section of the HLCM website specifically dedicated to information sharing on management reform by UN organizations (https://hlcm.unsystemceb.org/reform/). Such virtual sharing had just been launched and not many organizations had contributed to it yet.

38. The United Nations USG for Management provided a briefing on Management Reform at the Secretariat. Recent progress in this area included:

- The establishment and staffing of an Ethics Office and the launch of a Global Ethics Day;
- The finalization of a new, strong financial disclosure policy. Received disclosure forms were currently under review by outside experts;
- The launch of a cutting-edge whistleblower protection policy;
- The issuing of a prototype for a new, consolidated UN Annual Performance Report (copies were distributed to all participants);
- The finalization and approval of a Capital Master Plan: a USD 1.9 Billion project whose completion was expected for 2014;
- The approval and funding by the General Assembly of the plan to adopt IPSAS by 1 January 2010;
- The approval by the General Assembly of a new Chief Information Technology Officer post at the ASG level, which underscored the emphasis on technology and the importance of coordination with the UN’s sister agencies.
- The plan, already endorsed but yet to be funded by the GA, to implement a new ERP. In this respect, the UN informed that a working group had been established to analyze the needs of the organizations and evaluate alternative options available. A consultant
was being hired to help in this evaluation, which would start with an appropriate consideration of the already concluded contracts of UNICEF with SAP and of UNDP with PeopleSoft/Oracle. Given the fact that 14 organizations had not chosen a new ERP system yet, this area represented a great opportunity for system-wide cooperation.

39. The UN also re-iterated the need for the procurement reform to go forward, and for HLCM to have a role in providing system-wide guidance in this area. It was noted that the final customers of procurement activities were increasingly not at the Headquarters, and that adjustments to meet the new needs were required.

A sub-committee headed by the USG on Management was being established to follow-up on audit recommendations.

40. The Assistant Secretary-General for Human Resources, United Nations, briefed the Committee on the status of reform in the human resource area, which was seen as critical to the success of the overall reform effort. The reform proposals were based on the recognition that existing systems were not aligned with current realities. Unlike in the past, when operations had been headquarters-focused and generally stable, the United Nations of today employed a large number of staff in the field. Specific proposals included:

- The simplification and streamlining of the Organization’s recruitment systems, which were currently not seen as sufficiently accountable;
- Harmonization of conditions of service, both within the Secretariat and with other UN organizations. This was particularly important with regard to field conditions where the United Nations had a vacancy rate of 30 per cent and a high turnover rate;
- One United Nations contract, with one set of staff rules, would replace the current 100, 200 and 300 series contracts. The new one-contract modality would include short-term, fixed-term and continuing appointments;
- A doubling of the training budget and a focus on systematic and rigorous staff development, including leadership development;
- The introduction of a career path for staff on peace-keeping missions;
- A limited staff buy-out programme which was currently under negotiation with Member States. A targeted buy-out, based on a review of mandates, was no longer under consideration.

41. The ASG for Human Resources also noted the good collaboration with the staff representatives on the reform proposals which had been discussed at the meeting of the Staff Management Coordination Committee (SMCC) in June. The Under-Secretary-General for Management highlighted the important leadership role the ASG had played in the SMCC context.

42. With respect to the recent completion of the independent evaluation of Governance and Oversight systems, carried out by PricewaterhouseCoopers under the guidance of a Steering Committee of six independent experts, the UN informed that the results of the study were published in a Secretary-General’s report (A/60/883 and addenda 1 and 2) of 10 July 2006.
44. The United Nations briefed the Committee on the structure and the main recommendations of the Steering Committee report, which the ACABQ would examine in October and which would be considered by the General Assembly at its Fall session (whether in the Fifth Committee or in the Plenary was yet to be determined), with an expectation to complete the discussion in March next year.

45. With regard to the review of the Office of Internal Oversight Services, the Under-Secretary-General for Internal Oversight Services had provided separately her comments in a report entitled “Proposals for strengthening the Office of Internal Oversight Services” (A/60/901).

46. On the specific subject of OIOS, the UN Under-Secretary-General for Management noted that the study’s recommendations had faced strong criticism, both by Member States and by the OIOS itself, as they were generally considered to be incompatible with the UN governance and oversight structure, but rather mirroring internal audit frameworks for the private sector.

47. Numerous organizations judged the conclusions and recommendations of the study to be superficial, poorly supported, and lacking an appropriate consideration of the specificities and requirements of the organizations of the UN system. There was also consensus on the fact that the study had been carried out in an unrealistic time-frame, far inadequate to the stated objectives.

48. This, along with the considerable investment of senior staff time and other resources in the process, had generated strong frustration among organizations, both with the process through which the study had been carried out, and with its results.

**Conclusions and Action Points**

49. The Committee:

   a) Invited organizations to provide the CEB Secretariat with information and documentation on their management reforms, for posting on the HLCM website.
   b) Invited organizations to nominate representatives in the working group for the evaluation of a new ERP system.
   c) Asked the UN to provide an update on the General Assembly’s discussion of the study on Governance and Oversight at the next HLCM session.

V. COLLABORATION BETWEEN HLCM AND UNDG MANAGEMENT GROUP

50. At its videoconference of 26 July, the HLCM was informed of an upcoming meeting of the Committee’s Chairperson with the Chair of the UNDG Management Group. This meeting took place on 31 July, followed by a meeting on 7 August including the CEB Secretary and CEB Secretariat and colleagues from UNDG.

51. The Chair of the UNDG Management Group was invited to brief the Committee on the conclusions of these meetings and to provide a more general overview of UNDG Management Group activities.
52. The current areas of activity of the UNDG Management Group included:

   a. Financial Policies
   b. Common Premises and Services
   c. Audit
   d. ICT
   e. Joint Office
   f. Human Resources
   g. Resident Coordinator Issues
   h. Multi Donor Trust Funds (MDTF) and Joint Programming Mechanisms (JPM)
   i. Procurement

53. A note including objectives, conclusions and decisions of the 7 August meeting was circulated to all participants, and is available as Annex 6 to this report.

---

**Conclusions and Action Points**

54. The Committee thanked the Chair of the UNDG/MG for his briefing, expressed appreciation for any efforts towards increased dialogue between the UNDG and the HLCM, and endorsed the conclusions and decisions outlined in Annex 6.

55. The Committee also noted that such conclusions and decisions should be considered as transitional and would have to be re-examined in the light of actions affecting inter-agency structures that may be taken in the process of follow-up to the outcome of the High-level Panel on System-wide Coherence.

---

**VI. ESTABLISHMENT OF A SUPPLY NETWORK UNDER HLCM**

56. At its videoconference of 26 July 2006, the HLCM agreed to consider at its 12th session a proposal by UNHCR for the establishment of a Supply Network under the auspices of the Committee.

57. The Chairperson invited UNHCR’s Controller and Director, Financial & Supply Management, to present the proposal outlined in document CEB/2006/HLCM/R.11, noting that such a proposal required careful evaluation by the Committee, especially in light of the governance mechanisms as well as the roles of other existing UN community of work in this area, such as the Inter-Agency Procurement Working Group (IAPWG), and others.

58. The Committee also had before it the work plan of the Inter-Agency Procurement Working Group (IAPWG).

59. UNHCR explained that the procurement officials of the UN system agencies had been meeting for decades within the framework of the IAPWG – supported by UNDP/IAPSO (Inter-Agency Procurement Services Office) as secretariat.
60. In line with the approaches to the reform of the inter-agency machinery adopted by CEB in 2000, which called for the number of formal sub-groups to be kept to a minimum, the HLCM had outlined in 2003 its reporting relationships with IAPWG as follows:

- To incorporate the work of the IAPWG within its agenda, to receive IAPWG reports in respect of those matters where high level inter-agency support is required, and to provide guidance and oversight as appropriate;
- To invite members to inform procurement officers in each respective organization of the discussion in the HLCM and to follow meetings of the IAPWG;

61. Nevertheless, reporting from the IAPWG to HLCM had been rare, if any.

62. At its last meeting of June 2006 the IAPWG had agreed to seek a formalization of its reporting relationship towards HLCM, forming a Procurement and Supply Chain Management Network reporting to the HLCM on strategic procurement and supply chain matters requiring the decision of the HLCM; and indicating that UNDP/IAPSO could continue to provide the secretariat for this Network.

63. The proposal outlined in document CEB/2006/HLCM/R.11 was therefore to be considered as representing a common position of UNHCR, UNDP/IAPSO, and IAPWG, although other members of IAPWG did not support the proposal.

64. According to this proposal, the UN system supply chain suffers from fragmentation, lack of critical mass of expertise, lack of leadership, championship and voice. The absence of an effective governance mechanism at the inter-agency level further reduces the potential of having a modern, integrated supply chain in the UN system and its contribution to the ongoing UN system reform.

65. Potential benefits for such a Supply Net would include: advancement on conceptual and generic issues regarding the integrated supply chain through inter-agency exchanges of ideas and initiatives; gains in effectiveness and cost savings; development of common standards, indicators and benchmarks; an effective system of management of assets; higher visibility, voice, influence and respect of the supply chain profession.

66. It was acknowledged that, under the IPSAS (International Public Sector Accounting Standards) significant assets would be “capitalized” and reported on the financial statements, subject to internal and external audit. Proper management of physical assets would become even more important to enhance transparency and accountability. The Committee encouraged the Finance and Budget Network to look at this issue with the necessary attention.

Conclusions and Action Points

67. The Committee took note of UNHCR proposal, recognizing the increasing importance and complexity of management and coordination issues related to procurement and, more broadly, to the supply chain.
68. Stressing the need for appropriate, extensive consultation on any proposals on this subject, the Committee requested further inter-agency discussions in this area - in particular through the IAPWG -; encouraged interested parties to carry out further study and looked forward to receiving updates, including a report back from IAPWG.

VII. INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY ISSUES

69. The Convener and Chair of the ICT Network (WFP) updated the Committee on the status of the UN System ICT Strategic Framework. It was noted that since the Network’s last meeting (in April 2006) progress had been made in furthering the priority initiatives contained in the framework (common application solutions; governance; business case development tools; global networking; knowledge management; service sourcing; ERP and payroll and executive training in ICT), and that two of these initiatives, ERP Systems and Payroll and ICT Training, had advanced to a stage where funding would be required to carry the process forward.

70. In document CEB/2006/HLCM/R.12, the Network presented approaches for the advancement of each initiative. In the ERP/Payroll area, the Network recommended three detailed studies; the value of a common UN system payroll disbursement facility; an analysis of HR rules applied system-wide; and the establishment of ERP instances in one of the three main vendor products (SAP and Oracle/PeopleSoft). In the area of ICT training, the Network presented four proposals worth developing, three focused on the need to better equip non-ICT managers to make strategic decisions on technology related matters, and one on developing the business skills of ICT managers. The ICT Network Chair noted that appropriate funding was now essential to enable the Network to pursue these initiatives further.

71. During the discussion on the ERP and Payroll proposals, agencies underlined the need to maintain an open mind while identifying initial areas of investigation and not to give preference to any single platform (SAP, Oracle or PeopleSoft) before the conclusion of related studies and processes, such as UN’s own ERP platform selection process. Responding to issues raised on the cross-functional nature of ERP, the Network Chair recognized that, in addition to consulting other stakeholders and partners, further investigation of alternatives and priorities should be pursued in close collaboration with the FB and HR Networks. Many organizations, particularly the United Nations, UNHCR and UNFPA indicated their interest in being involved and contributing to some of these studies, especially one intended to present a business case for consolidated payroll disbursements.

72. The ICT Network Chair noted further that, while fulfilling its role as an ICT management forum, the Network faced challenges in serving as a vehicle for achieving far reaching changes, citing a lack of funding to explore system-wide service options as well as the need for a management system for implementing business-oriented decisions. The proposals represented small steps towards achieving system-wide ICT coherence, but more could be achieved, provided resources were available. In particular, the ICT Network Chair highlighted the need to study in depth the value of common data centers and communications capabilities, noting that far-reaching studies regarding system-wide shared ICT services could yield tremendous benefits. Such studies could uncover different models to provide these services, including exploring how the system could better leverage existing capacity, like the International Computer Center.
73. During the discussion, the Committee noted that a unified approach to ICT had been advocated by Member States, and that funding for its implementation could be available provided that its value could convincingly be established. In addition, several organizations noted that different models exist to advance in this area, including identifying centers of excellence, where organizations that excel in a particular technical area provide services to other organizations.

**Conclusions and Action Points**

74. The Committee thanked the Network for the progress made in the areas outlined in document CEB/2006/HLCM/R.12, and endorsed the proposals presented to them.

75. The Committee requested the ICT Network to prepare a proposal for the development of business cases for high-impact ICT projects by the end of October 2006. The proposal should include a clear business case to justify investment, and a detailed plan for the implementation of common ICT services in the area of data centers and data communications, along with appropriate vehicles for delivering such services. This would allow the proposal, which may have funding implications, to be discussed perhaps in November 2006 so that the momentum is not lost and fully developed business cases could be discussed at the Spring session of HLCM.

VIII. FINANCE AND BUDGET ISSUES

76. At its 11th session, HLCM had requested the Task Force on Accounting Standards to keep the Committee informed on progress of work and all relevant developments with the IPSAS implementation project.

77. The Committee invited the Co-spokesperson of the Finance and Budget Network and Chairman of the Task Force on Accounting Standards to present the progress report on the IPSAS project (CEB/2006/HLCM/R.13).

78. The report covered the period 1 March – 31 August 2006 and outlined progress made with respect to:

- Project infrastructure
- Accounting Policies and Guidance
- Communication
- IPSAS Board Involvement
- Budgetary Practices (implications)

79. Since March 2006, the General Assembly had formally endorsed the decision to adopt IPSAS, and the IPSAS project team (funded by HLCM) had been almost fully formed and was working within the framework of the HLCM Task Force on Accounting Standards and the Finance and Budget Network.

80. The governance mechanisms for the IPSAS project were fully consultative: all HLCM organizations were actively involved in the discussion and decision making processes, which were led by a Steering Committee whose membership was based on a logic of “duty station”

81. All the information and documentation related to this project was available on the Finance and Budget Network website, at: https://fb.unsystemceb.org/reference/05.

82. The IPSAS Project Steering Committee was considering the appropriate organizational and governance means through which to ensure adequate attention, analysis and guidance in connection with budget related aspects of IPSAS implementation in UN system organizations.

83. An amendment to be inserted in paragraph 3 of UNSAS, as already endorsed by the Finance and Budget Network, was recommended to the HLCM. The recommended amendment would allow organizations to gradually adopt IPSAS by 2010. The amended paragraph 3 would read as follows (changes in Italic):

[Where individual organizations find it necessary to depart from the practice set out in the standards they should disclose the reasons for doing so in the statement of significant accounting policies included in their financial statements. Where an organization departs from the practices set out below in order to apply:

a) An IPSAS standard(s); and/or
b) An IFRS/IAS standard(s) applicable to a topic, when no IPSAS exists for a topic,
   The organization is deemed to comply with UNSAS, so long as the organization complies with:
   a) The IPSAS individual standard(s) in its entirety; and/or IFRS/IAS individual standard(s) in its entirety; and
   b) All remaining UNSAS requirements.]

Conclusions and Action Points

84. The Committee noted that the adoption of IPSAS by the UN system was a widely recognized project of an inter-agency nature, frequently quoted as an example of good coordination among the organizations of the UN system.

85. The Committee took note of the progress report and invited the Task Force on Accounting Standards and the IPSAS Project Team to report again to HLCM at its next session.

86. The UNSAS amendment was unanimously approved.

IX. HUMAN RESOURCES ISSUES

87. Speaking in her capacity as HR Network spokesperson, the representative of UNESCO briefed the Committee on the recent and planned activities of the Network. At its eleventh session in March 2006, the HR Network had initiated a reflection process to examine its functioning and ensure the most effective organization of its meetings. As a result, the Network meetings were now divided into three parts: (a) an initial meeting of HR policy
experts to review the items on the agenda of the International Civil Service Commission (ICSC), (b) a full meeting of the Network, which included observers and staff representatives and (c) a closed session focusing on specific strategic HR issues attended only by the Heads of HR and senior HR managers. This part also included a private session of Heads of HR only. In addition, the process of preparing for the sessions of ICSC was now initiated several weeks prior to the session. Ad-hoc working groups were established on items of particular importance to analyze the ICSC documentation and develop recommendations for the Network positions.

88. In support of its enhanced strategic focus, the Network had identified a number of important and cutting-edge HR issues. At the first closed session in July 2006, members had engaged in an in-depth exchanges on management reform and performance management. Future strategic priorities would focus on the issue of staff well-being and gender. Staff well being was seen as a critical issue that required greater attention. The Network intended to work towards the harmonization of policies and entitlements in this area, with a common policy being a shared goal. As a first step, the Network was conducting a stock-taking exercise to map the current policies and practices in all organizations. With regard to gender, the Network was planning to organize a special session of the Network. The Network would report back to HLCM on progress made in both areas at the next sessions.

89. The Network had also decided to revisit the issue of long-term care insurance which would cover home or nursing home care for patients with long-term illnesses or disabilities, such as stroke victims or dementia patients. The spokesperson recalled that efforts undertaken a few years ago to introduce a system-wide insurance plan for long-term care had not been successful. However, the Network was determined to undertake a renewed effort and had established a working group to study the feasibility of a system-wide plan. In response, the representative of the United Nations emphasized that the financial implications of long-term care insurance would be significant and would moreover add to the long-term liabilities of organizations which in the future would need to be disclosed in the accounts in order to comply with IPSAS. Other organizations, for example WHO, reported that they were already offering long-term care insurance to their staff.

90. The Committee:

   a) Noted with appreciation that the Network had undertaken a review of its functioning and adopted a new format for its meetings and working methods.

   b) Endorsed the substantive priorities of the Network

X. JOINT SESSION WITH HLCP

91. A report on the joint session will be produced separately.

XI. OTHER BUSINESS

(a) Dates and venue for next session

92. The Committee agreed to hold its next session at the same time and venue of HLCP, in order to consolidate the experience of a joint session between the two Committees on subjects of common interest and relevance.
93. Possible dates would be shortly proposed to the Committees, after verification of time windows which would avoid overlapping with organizations’ governing body meetings.

(b) Briefing Session on HIV/AIDS in the UN System workplace for European-based HLCM members

94. UNAIDS asked whether HLCM members based in Europe would be interested in participating in a briefing session on HIV/AIDS in the UN System workplace. This session had already been organized for almost all senior managers, in New York. The session would take about 3 hours, or half a day. The proposed timing was the first or second week of November.

95. The Committee invited interested organizations to contact UNAIDS directly and formalize their intention to take advantage of this offer.

(c) Staffing of the CEB Secretariat

96. The Committee expressed its deep appreciation for the commitment and professionalism that Kristiane Golze had demonstrated in carrying out her functions of acting HLCM secretary and HR Network advisor.

97. The Chairperson informed the Committee that the vacancy for the HR Network advisor would be sent out shortly, and confirmed that the recruitment would be completed through an inter-agency process. Meanwhile, organizations were asked to nominate staff who could fulfill the role until such time that an advisor would be appointed.

98. The post of HLCM secretary would be left open until after the report of the High Level Panel on System-wide Coherence would have been issued. This selection would also go through an inter-agency process. CEB will be informed accordingly.
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List of Participants

Chairperson: Ms. Thoraya Obaid (UNFPA)
Vice-Chair: Mr. Denis Aitken (WHO)
Secretary: Ms. Kristiane Golze (CEB Secretariat)

<table>
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|              | Mr. Thomas Eriksson  
Chief Directorate, a.i.  |
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| UNRWA        | Mr. Issam Miqdadi  
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Deputy Executive Director  |
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Executive Officer  |
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|              | Mr. Subhash K. Gupta  
Director, Division for Management Services  |
|              | Mr. Klaus Beck  
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Deputy Executive Director  |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Name – Title – Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| UNOV/UNODC         | **Mr. Franz Baumann**  
Deputy Director-General, UNOV and Director for Management, UNODC |
|                   | **Mr. Antoine King**  
Director, Programme Support Division |
| UN-HABITAT         | **Ms. Deborah Landey**  
Deputy Executive Director |
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Director, Division of Programme Support |
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Director |
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Deputy Director, Administration and Management |
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Head, UNSSC Liaison Office at Geneva and  
Co-ordinator, Leadership Development Programmes |
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Director, Division of Administration |
| CCISUA             | **Mr. Rick Cottam**  
President, ICTY Staff Union |
| FICSA              | **Mr. Robert Weisell**  
President |
|                    | **Mr. Mauro Pace**  
Member for Compensation Issues |
| CEB Secretariat    | **Ms. Kristiane Golze**  
Acting Secretary, HLCM |
|                    | **Mr. Kenneth Herman**  
Senior Advisor on Information Management Policy Coordination |
|                    | **Mr. Remo Lalli**  
Inter-Agency Advisor on Finance and Budget |
|                    | **Mr. Richard Maciver**  
ICT Specialist and Webmaster |
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Agenda

1. Adoption of the agenda and programme of work CEB/2006/HLCM/R.10/Rev.1 and CRP.1/Rev.1

2. Dialogue with FICSA and CCISUA

3. Security and Safety of Staff CEB/2006/HLCM/12/CRP.2 and CRP.3


7. Information and communication technology issues CEB/2006/HLCM/R.12


9. Human resource issues -

10. Joint session with HLCP

    (a) High-level Panel on System-wide Coherence -

    (b) Results-based management (RBM) CEB2006/HLCM/R.14

    (c) Gender mainstreaming: CEB/2006/HLCM/R.15

    (d) UN System Staff College Strategy, Business Plan and Programme Activities, 2006/09

11. Other business -
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Statement by FICSA

UN Reform

This subject influences almost every topic we touch on and yet it remains to be seen what the exact impact will be. The UN Reform refers to the UN Secretariat but there are other reforms going on in the funds and programmes and some specialized agencies. In fact changes are occurring more rapidly in some of these organizations when compared to the UN Secretariat. FICSA has followed the UN Reform and has read the documentation associated with it. This includes the report of the SMCC and the UN document A/61/255 – “Investing in the United Nations for a stronger Organization worldwide: detailed report – investing in people”. FICSA has always maintained that as a general principle staff must be fully involved – not only due to the principle but for the enhanced effectiveness of the process. The Federation’s primary goal is preserving a career international civil service. Unfortunately, a number of the reform proposals seem to be aimed at making it easier for the UN and other organizations to limit staff tenure to five or six years. This will undermine the continuity of programmes and lead to the loss of expertise. It also will have a negative impact on the loyalty, independence and integrity of international civil servants. It is probably more appropriate that our colleague from CCISUA comment on this and other documents related to the UN reform since it will impact first and foremost on the CCISUA members.

Expert Redesign Panel on Administration of Justice

A crucial part of the UN Reform is the enhancement of the United Nations system of administration of justice. As a result of a GA resolution the Redesign Panel on the Administration of Justice was created. Although the Panel was mandated to review the United Nations Administrative Tribunal, its report is pertinent in some ways to the other Administrative Tribunal, the ILOAT. In addition a separate report on the same topic was commissioned by the United Nations Staff Union in New York which was headed by Justice Geoffrey Robertson. The two reports touch on a number of common themes. It is clear that staff do not have confidence in the existing system. In spite of that, staff have no option but to turn to the UNAT in desperation and very often frustration. Hours and resources are consumed by the preparation and proceedings, and often with a result that is unsatisfactory to all. In such a situation, frivolous appeals multiply and this is to no one’s benefit. Whereas the Panel Report may not be perfect, FICSA feels overall that its recommendations are sound and bold. The Report echoes what FICSA has long advocated and that is the full application to the staff of internationally recognized rights and principles as are advocated for the member states we serve. FICSA will lobby intensely for its acceptance in full and not a watered down version. We urge the organizations to do the same. A viable, fair and trusted system of justice will benefit both the staff and the organizations.

Impact of the Reform on Staff Representation

FICSA is staff representation – responsible, independent, effective staff representation. Thus, any event or situation within the United Nations demands that we examine how it affects staff representation. This is true for the reform. The reform, whatever form it takes, will affect how FICSA and CCISUA will be able to represent the interests of staff. When the UN began, it was made up of largely headquarters-based organizations with a relatively small field program. There were exceptions to this situation but the exceptions increased over time. Staff associations and unions which joined FICSA were within the common system and full members of FICSA. Secure contracts were the norm. Gradually, smaller and in some cases “temporary” organizations were created, often initially outside the United Nations system but even when outside, adopting some of the common system standards. Some of these have joined FICSA but not as full members and thus, are not able to participate in all FICSA activities.
Today, FICSA and CCISUA are finding themselves representing staff hired under conditions that are more and more diverse. A staff member may work for one organization but have a contract from another. The increasingly temporary nature of contracts does not lend itself to creating interest in staff representation bodies and activities. In short, staff no longer have the same sense of belonging to the organization. The outcome is that there are fewer staff to pay dues to the association or union, and smaller association budgets that struggle to cover FICSA assessed contributions. And yet, the two Federations *de facto* represent the voice of all staff at the inter-agency level.

We would like to explore with the administrations the implications of what is described above. FICSA is conducting an internal review but feels the organizations have an important role to play in ensuring that staff representatives at every level have the resources they need.

**Safety and Security of Staff (IASMN)**

Again this year FICSA participated fully and actively in the Interagency Security Management Network meeting in Vienna this past May.

While we are pleased to see that measures have been taken to improve the operational administration of existing cost sharing arrangements for safety and security (as detailed in document A/61/223), we are aware that such arrangements still continue to pose problems. FICSA maintains that only regular and predictable funding from the UN’s regular budget will ensure a viable, consistent and equitable security management system for all staff in the UN system.

On a positive note FICSA welcomes the completion of the accountability framework and looks forward to its adoption at the upcoming session of the General Assembly. FICSA also welcomes the additional efforts in the area of staff training, namely the completion of CD Rom II and urges the organizations to make this available to all staff, where possible, whether mandatory or not. The air safety guidelines and the guidelines on the security of women have been adopted by the IASMN, and FICSA looks forward to their dissemination by the organizations.

FICSA continues to be concerned over the enhancement of safety and security measures for national staff and in this respect acknowledges those organizations that are seeking progress on such efforts. This concern was heightened during the Middle East crisis this summer and FICSA commends all those who worked tirelessly during the recent crisis in the Middle East to deal with the many security and administrative issues that arose. Much has been done but there is more that can be done to ensure that effective policies are in place to address the safety of national staff, including relocation and evacuation issues.

**Staff Management Relations**

We have made it a policy to report briefly on the on-going dialogue concerning Staff Management Relations. You may recall that this initiative began in the HLCM in 2002, with a request by FICSA for support to staff representation through cost-sharing. Subsequently, at your request, we took the issue to the HR network. Overloaded schedules and lack of full understanding on both sides has stood in the way of faster progress, but very soon the CEB Secretariat will be distributing to the organizations and staff associations/unions a questionnaire collecting quantitative information on the state of staff-management relations throughout the common system. It is anticipated that a dialogue with management will follow.

FICSA continues to search for more extensive and meaningful dialogue with management not only here in the HLCM but in other fora. We hope that this dialogue can be expanded here at the HLCM. We realize that you arrive at these two-day meetings with pressing issues awaiting you and a packed agenda. However, we feel that all would benefit from a longer, extended dialogue. We are confident that staff have an advocate in the Chairperson by recalling her opening statement in explaining the status of the High Level Panel on System Wide Coherence when she said, “human rights begin at
home and has to start with our staff”. She then reminded those in the room that she had once been a staff representative, and an aggressive one at that, managing to irritate her executive head. Our aim is not necessarily to irritate, but to participate fully in the decisions that affect our working lives, as provided for in the ICSC Framework for Human Resources Management.

Review of the ICSC

Since 2004 FICSA has reminded the HLCM on previous occasions the importance that it attaches to reforming the ICSC, a review that it has advocated for over ten years. The Expert Panel report on the review of the ICSC is languishing, as is the ICSC’s 2004 Report as both await adoption by the General Assembly. Although we have made every effort to attain approval of at least the first 8 recommendations of the panel’s report that refer specifically to reforming the Commission, we recognize that what is needed now is a more concerted effort by CEB members to ensure that this report does not fade away. FICSA will continue to advocate tirelessly for this and we look forward to the organizations doing the same. It is in all our interests to do so.

High Level Panel on System Wide Coherence

FICSA, along with the HR representatives, received from the HLCM Chair on the occasion of the HR Network meeting in New York on 6 July a briefing on the status of the High Level Panel’s work. FICSA looks forward to the final report. In particular, we were pleased to learn that the Panel will look at such issues as means of achieving gender parity and means of prompting inter-agency mobility. We would like to recall what we said last February at the HLCM in France that in order to shift from the concept of a collection of many organizations to an integrated system of organizations, FICSA believes that it is vital that intra-agency mobility be facilitated.

Pension concerns

Dialogue on pension matters is unfortunately experiencing huge problems: the 53rd session of the UNJSPB held last July in Nairobi witnessed a serious controversy on the Fund's investment policy, particularly over the proposal to passively manage, i.e. by indexation, the North American portfolio, amounting to about US$ 8.5 billion, or 25 percent of the total value of assets. The fact that the Board, a tripartite body that traditionally works by consensus, eventually resolved to vote is the alarming sign of rift between the representatives of the Members States and Executive Heads, on one side, and the Participants' representatives on the other. Since the assets are a property of the Fund, acquired, deposited and held in the name of the United Nations on behalf of its participants and beneficiaries, something needs to urgently be done to re-build the climate of trust and communication that are essential to the good governance of the Fund. FICSA strongly supports the position expressed by the participants that such a radical change in the investment policy should be considered within the framework of the forthcoming ALM study, that should include "an investment strategy based on defined asset allocation, elaborated in consultation with the Investment Committee, the Actuary Committee, the CEO and the Board" resulting in a more transparent and participatory decision-making process. The investment returns achieved to date and the actuarial situation do not justify the extreme urgency that was given to this matter. Therefore, FICSA is also supportive of the requests that are increasingly being made, including by means of a petition circulated throughout the UN organization, urging the General Assembly to ask the Secretary-General to suspend immediately any action on the indexation that should be re-discussed in a special session of the Pension Board addressing investment issues.
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Statement by CCISUA

UN Reform

CCISUA as you may be aware does not have member status or an official role in the SMCC, however our membership includes the majority of Organisations and Duty stations who are either members or associate members.

The Staff Unions and Councils who attended SMCC were faced with many complex and difficult consultations that were both emotive of nature, but also had high stakes for the UN staff that were being represented, therefore it was a welcomed initiative by the Management to encompass two days of training in joint problem solving by both parties who were stakeholders in the difficult task ahead. This unique move was very much welcomed by the SMCC Staff Representatives and set the tone for the work to be carried out during the week of plenary.

The Staff Representatives present also appreciated the openness of the discussions, negotiations and consultation in where the difficulties or reasons that Management could not concur with either suggestion or proposals from the Staff Representatives were explained in full, therefore allowing alternative proposals from the Staff Representatives which made a much more progressive, flowing way to do business.

We very much hope that this style is both encouraged and continued. From the Staff Representatives present at SMCC perspective, we had invested time and funds into Staff Representative training, which we felt assisted Representatives in the SMCC.

One of the agreements in SMCC was to formalize the training of Staff Representatives who, given the skills required while in elected office can serve both the staff and the organisation better.

We would like it known to the HLCM members that the SMCC agreements on the UN Reform were discussed in full, pushed, pulled and tested through debate and negotiation by the members present.

Expert Redesign Panel on the Administration of Justice

CCISUA were very happy the panel was appointed. The existing system serves neither the staff nor the management and we hope we can both agree that this was a critical area that needed urgent attention.

CCISUA have endorsed the panel’s recommendations at the recent CCISUA extraordinary meeting September 7th – 9th.

Security and Safety of Staff (IASMN)

CCISUA feels this is the most important subjects for all UN staff irrespective of rank, grade, and nationality, as bullets and bombs do not discriminate.

DSS has in the limited time of operation done an incredible job in their attempts to make our staff safer both in the field and in the HQ duty stations.

CCISUA feels as we always have a valued partner when attending the IASMN.

CCISUA, along with our colleagues FICSA support the vision and the programs of work that DSS tables during IASMN.
We are concerned that the culture for centralization of Security issues is not yet there and we would encourage this to be moved forward as soon as possible.

Security of staff unfortunately is not something that can be done on the cheap and to avoid repetitions of tragic incidents where staff are killed or maimed we believe appropriate funding be provided to DSS to allow the programs of work to be realized.

CCISUA is more than aware that the World is changing, along with the UN, an organisation that could in years gone by be comforted by the fact that being in a UN vehicle or in a UN building gave some degree of protection, those days unfortunately have passed us by and CCISUA would want to think that if a staff member loses his or her life then all that could have been done was done, therefore we ask for the support of the requests coming from DSS who are the experts in the business of Security and Safety.

During the recent events in Southern Lebanon we were saddened to learn of the deaths of UN staff caught in crossfire and shelling.

CCISUA received emails from local staff that were caught in the middle of this terrible situation where they were asking for removal from the area of military operations.

We have it on good account that DSS did a very good job during difficult times, however as our statement to HLCM in Paris requested we reiterate where Local UN staff are in these areas of danger we call for Heads of Mission and the SG to remove them to a safe haven when being either directly or indirectly targeted. We all remember the pictures on the TV of the ESCWA building being rampaged by angry mobs calling for the deaths of UN staff, once again these mobs will not discriminate between Local and International staff, and on the contrary history has proven that Local Staff have a far higher chance of death or injury than Internationals.

**Staff Management Relations**

We concur with FICSA in that we must keep the ball rolling with the improvement of Staff Management relations, through training, joint workshops and dialogue.
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Technical working group to address outstanding issues with regard to Security and Safety in the United Nations

Terms of Reference as endorsed by the Committee

1. The High Level Committee on Management acknowledges the seriousness of the security risks to the staff of the United Nations system worldwide and the need for the United Nations to provide adequate safety and security measures or advice for UN system staff.

2. The Committee also endorses the objectives as spelled out in the UNDSS Strategic Framework for the biennium 2008-2009, as well as the newly identified need for a fully-operational surge capacity, should resources be made available.

3. The Committee notes that the UNDSS current budget proposal for the biennium 2008-2009 includes increased resource requirements. The Committee understands that the increased budgetary requirements cannot be funded by the partners of the IASMN through the current cost-sharing arrangements, due to their own financial limitations.

4. The Committee encourages UNDSS to explore and utilize alternative options of funding that could be available for the full implementation of its operations, including the development of a surge capacity.

5. Given the limited funding available for the implementation of 2008-2009 Strategic Framework, and bearing in mind the increase in internal security costs in each organization, the Committee decides to establish a technical working group to look at options for re-prioritization of activities of UNDSS in order to best meet the objectives stated in the Strategic Framework and develop an effective surge capacity.

Composition and operation of the Working Group

6. The Working Group will include staff with expertise in both security and financial issues. Initially, the following staff has been preliminarily identified:

   1. Andrew Lukach (Management and Security, WFP)
   2. Michael O’Hara (Budget, UNDP)
   3. Ambi Sundaram (Management, WHO)
   4. David Bongi (Security, UNDSS)
   5. Neeta Tolani (Administration, UNDSS)
   6. Bill Gent (Security, UNICEF)
   7. Magda Landry (Programme Specialist in Security Coordination, UNESCO)
   8. Drew Donovan (Head, Security Services, ITU)
   9. Katrina Nowlan and Zhengfan Sun (UN/OPPBA)

7. The method of operation and information sharing will rely on regular video-conferencing. In order to fully inform the Agencies of its results, allow for additional consultations and allow UNDSS to meet the 30th November 2006 deadline for submission of the 2008-2009 proposed programme budget to OPPBA, the Working Group will complete its report and submit it to the HLCM by end of October 2006.
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Collaboration between HLCM and UNDG Management Group

Meeting Between the Chairs of the HLCM and UNDG MG:

The coordination meeting between the chairs of the HLCM and the UNDG Management Group took place on August 7, 2006. In addition to the chairs, CEB and UNDGO were also present.

The Objectives of the Meeting were:

- To launch a continuous process of interaction between the HLCM and the UNDG MG as well as the CEB Secretariat and UNDGO with a view to strengthening coordination and optimize use of limited resources;
- To discuss principles of the division of labor between the HLCM and the MG in the potentially overlapping areas, current and future, based on existing workplans and emerging thinking;
- To agree on steps to ensure the endorsement of such principles by the MG and the HLCM;
- To outline a draft menu of areas/issues for future consideration and action by the HLCM and the MG.

Conclusions and Decisions:

While realizing that there are ongoing initiatives and efforts that may entail a change in the internal UN coordination mechanisms, the meeting came to the following conclusions:

- The HLCM is charged with identifying and analyzing administrative and financial management issues of common concern which require a system wide response and it was therefore decided that HLCM will take on system-wide policy and standard setting issues. The UNDG MG will focus on operational issues at the regional and country level.
- A comparative analysis of the 2006 draft programme of work of the HLCM and its Networks and the 2006 Tasking Memorandum of the UNDG MG Working Groups was undertaken to identify areas of potential overlap. One area of potential duplication identified was human resources.
- In follow-up to the meeting of the chairs, the spokespersons of the CEB HR Network and the Chair of the UNDG MG Working Group on Human Resources met to discuss division of labor with respect to HR issues and the following was proposed:
  - Harmonization of HR policy should be dealt with in the CEB HR network rather than in the UNDG MG;
  - The work of the Dual Career and Staff Mobility Sub-Group and the Prevention of Harassment, Sexual Harassment and Abuse of Authority Sub Group should transfer to the HLCM;
  - The Joint Office sub-group of the UNDG HR working group would move to the Joint Office Working Group of the UNDG MG.
- The HLCM and UNDG MG can hand over and submit issues for the consideration of the other committee/group. Such hand-over will be done through Chair to Chair communication.
- The UNDG MG and HLCM planning cycles should and will be harmonized in order to facilitate coordination of the UNDG MG and HLCM work. The MG Workplan is to be prepared based on coordination and consultation with the HLCM. Subsequent to the meeting of August 7th, the UNDG-MG working group chairs were requested to review the HLCM Programme of Work 2006-2007 and to submit 2007 workplans by November 1st. This will allow for a meeting between the Chairs of the HLCM and the UNDG MG before the end of the year to discuss and finalize the 2007 MG Workplans.
- A brief update on key results and decisions taken in the other group will be included in the agendas of HLCM and UNDG MG.
• The Chairs of HLCM and UNDG MG will meet twice a year to review progress and challenges and to address any pending or upcoming issues.

• DGO and the CEB Secretariat will meet at least quarterly to exchange information and to coordinate the work of the HLCM and UNDG MG.

• Links between the MG Section of the UNDG website and the website of HLCM will be established. Upon request HLCM members will be granted access to the UNDG MG website and UNDG MG members will be granted access to the HLCM website.

• Ms. Obaid will brief the Chair of the High Level Committee on Programmes, Mr. Bage, on the outcome of the meeting.

• DGO briefed the Chair of the UNDG Programme Group, Mr. Jean-Jacques Grasse on the outcome of the meeting. UNDG MG members were informed of this during the recent MG meeting on September 14th.